

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Sample size estimation for randomised controlled trials with repeated assessment of patient-reported outcomes: what correlation between baseline and follow-up outcomes should we assume?

Stephen J. Walters*, Richard M. Jacques, Inês Bonacho dos Anjos Henriques-Cadby, Jane Candlish, Nikki Totton and Mica Teo Shu Xian

Correction to: *Trials* (2019) 20: 566
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3671-2>

Following publication of the original article [1], we have been notified that one of an error in the Conclusions section of the Abstract.

The range of correlations should read “0.4 to **0.6**” instead of “0.4 to **-0.6**” in the phrase “There is a general consistency in the correlations between the repeated PROMs, with the majority 31 being in the range of 0.4 to 0.6.”

The original article has been corrected.

Published online: 28 October 2019

Reference

1. Walters SJ, et al. Sample size estimation for randomised controlled trials with repeated assessment of patient-reported outcomes: what correlation between baseline and follow-up outcomes should we assume? *Trials*. 2019; 20:566. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3671-2>.

* Correspondence: sj.walters@sheffield.ac.uk
School of Health and Related Research (SchARR), University of Sheffield, 30 Regent Street, Sheffield S1 4DA, UK

