

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Using vignettes to understand variations in patient screening and recruitment

Elaine McColl*, Paul Hilton, Cath Brennan

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference
Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

The INVESTIGATE-I study was designed to inform a future definitive randomised trial of invasive urodynamic testing compared to basic clinical assessment with non-invasive tests, prior to surgical treatment in women with stress urinary incontinence or stress predominant mixed urinary incontinence. In a pilot RCT, women from seven participating sites were screened, consented and randomised. Overall, 771 patients were identified by clinic notes and correspondence as being potential recruits, and were sent the patient information sheets. Of those screened, 284 were deemed eligible, giving a 'screen positive' rate of 37%. The numbers screened at individual centres varied between 14 and 399; the percentage of eligible women recruited varied between 55% and 100%.

To explore why 'screen positive rates' may have varied across sites, we asked all 11 screeners from the seven sites to respond to a series of 20 identical vignettes. These were mainly based on actual GP referral letters. Sixteen vignettes mentioned one or more definite inclusion criteria; the remainder had possible inclusions. Four had definite exclusions; 15 had possible exclusions. Free text comments were sought to clarify the screeners' decisions.

For six vignettes everyone agreed that the patient was eligible; for one all agreed she was not eligible; the breakdown for the remainder was mixed. Comments amplified decisions. The findings indicated that inclusion and exclusion criteria should be more explicit and that where information is missing from referral letters, the default action should be to invite the woman to attend for detailed screening. Vignettes could usefully be used as training tools for those screening and consenting patients.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-O22

Cite this article as: McColl et al.: Using vignettes to understand variations in patient screening and recruitment. *Trials* 2015 **16**(Suppl 2):O22.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK



© 2015 McColl et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.