POSTER PRESENTATION





Understanding the complexity of surgical interventions in rcts: the role of process evaluation in the operating theatre

Natalie Blencowe^{1,2*}, Nicola Mills¹, Jenny Donovan¹, Jane Blazeby^{1,2}

From 2nd Clinical Trials Methodology Conference: Methodology Matters Edinburgh, UK. 18-19 November 2013

Surgical interventions are considered complex because they have multiple components which may act independently or interdependently to influence outcomes. These components need to be defined, described and monitored so that interventions can be accurately replicated. Process evaluations have been successfully used to inform the design and monitoring of other complex healthcare interventions and this study therefore aimed to establish the feasibility of undertaking process evaluation in the operating theatre.

Case studies of surgical interventions were undertaken within an internal pilot RCT comparing the effectiveness of laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding and roux-en-Y gastric bypass for morbid obesity. Case studies involved two components: a)video recording, audiorecording and nonparticipant observation in theatre, and b)semi-structured interviews with surgical, anaesthetic and nursing staff to explore views of key intervention elements.

Three case studies in two centres have been successfully undertaken, including interviews with seven staff. Obtaining complete datasets was challenging due to the unpredictable nature of the theatre environment (inpatient bed pressures, re-ordering of operating lists, lack of time) and reliance on technology (operative masks and anaesthetic machines substantially reduce the quality of audiorecordings). Video and audiorecordings have been synchronised with observational data using Observer XT10 software. Thematic analysis of interviews is underway and triangulation of findings will help clarify the intervention and identify key components and context.

Process evaluation in the operating theatre is feasible and can be used to establish how surgical interventions

¹University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

should be designed and monitored in main RCTs. Whether this should occur routinely is currently uncertain and requires further investigation.

Authors' details

 $^1 \rm University$ of Bristol, Bristol, UK. $^2 \rm University$ Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK.

Published: 29 November 2013

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-14-S1-P3 Cite this article as: Blencowe *et al.*: Understanding the complexity of surgical interventions in rcts: the role of process evaluation in the operating theatre. *Trials* 2013 14(Suppl 1):P3.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



© 2013 Blencowe et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.