

CORRECTION

Open Access

# Correction: Protocol for the PINCER trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices

Anthony J Avery<sup>1\*</sup>, Sarah Rodgers<sup>2</sup>, Judith A Cantrill<sup>3</sup>, Sarah Armstrong<sup>4</sup>, Rachel Elliott<sup>2</sup>, Rachel Howard<sup>5</sup>, Denise Kendrick<sup>1</sup>, Caroline J Morris<sup>6</sup>, Scott A Murray<sup>7</sup>, Robin J Prescott<sup>7</sup>, Kathrin Cresswell<sup>7</sup>, Aziz Sheikh<sup>7</sup>

## Correction

Following the publication of our article [1] we noticed an error in the Methods section. In the sub-section 'Describing baseline prevalence of medication-related problems' the denominator for the following secondary outcome measures is incorrectly stated:

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  six months without a thyroid function test in the last six months (numerator)/

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  three months (denominator)

This should read:

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  six months without a thyroid function test in the last six months (numerator)/

Patients prescribed amiodarone for  $\geq$  six months (denominator)

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Division of Primary Care, The Medical School, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK. <sup>2</sup>Division for Social Research in Medicines and Health, The School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, University Park,

Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK. <sup>3</sup>Drug Usage & Pharmacy Practice Group, School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. <sup>4</sup>Trent Research Design Service, Division of Primary Care, Tower Building, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK. <sup>5</sup>School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, PO Box 226, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AP, UK. <sup>6</sup>Department of Primary Health Care and General Practice, Wellington School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Otago, Mein Street, Wellington South, New Zealand. <sup>7</sup>Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, 20 West Richmond Street, Edinburgh, EH8 9DX, UK.

Received: 2 March 2010

Accepted: 2 March 2010 Published: 2 March 2010

## Reference

1. Avery A, Rodgers S, Cantrill JA, Armstrong S, Elliott R, Howard R, Kendrick D, Morris CJ, Murray SA, Prescott RJ, Cresswell K, Sheikh A: **Protocol for the PINCER trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices.** *Trials* 2009, **10**:28.

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-11-23

**Cite this article as:** Avery et al.: Correction: Protocol for the PINCER trial: a cluster randomised trial comparing the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led IT-based intervention with simple feedback in reducing rates of clinically important errors in medicines management in general practices. *Trials* 2010 **11**:23.

\* Correspondence: tony.avery@nottingham.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup>Division of Primary Care, The Medical School, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK