STUDY PROTOCOL Open Access



The UPDATE trial (*UVB P*hototherapy in *D*ermatology for *AT*opic *E*czema): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial of narrowband UVB with optimal topical therapy versus optimal topical therapy in patients with atopic eczema

Eva Knöps^{1*}, Phyllis Spuls¹, Ruben Duijnhoven², Marcel Dijkgraaf³, Marit van Barreveld³, Bernd Arents⁴, Annefloor van Enst⁵, Floor Garritsen⁶, Maruschka Merkus¹, Pina Middelkamp-Hup¹, Annelie Musters¹, Angela Bosma¹, Ariënna Hyseni¹, Jitske Dijkstra¹, Dirk Jan Hijnen⁷ and Louise Gerbens¹

Abstract

Background Narrowband ultraviolet B (NB-UVB) phototherapy is commonly prescribed for patients with moderate-to-severe atopic eczema (AE). The efficacy of NB-UVB, however, has not yet properly been established, as current evidence is of low certainty. Our aim is to assess the short-term and long-term (cost-)effectiveness and safety of NB-UVB in adult AE patients by performing a pragmatic, multicenter, prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded-endpoint (PROBE) trial. This protocol outlines its methodology.

Methods A pragmatic, multicenter, PROBE trial will be performed with 1:1 randomization of 316 adult patients with moderate-to-severe AE who have inadequate disease control with topical therapy and who are eligible for optimal topical therapy (OTT) or NB-UVB in combination with OTT as a next step. Participants in the interventional arm will receive a minimum of 3 months of OTT combined with 8 to 16 weeks of NB-UVB. The control group receives 3 months of OTT. Following the interventional phase, follow-up will continue for 9 months. Physician-reported and patient-reported outcomes (according to the Harmonising Outcome Measures for Eczema (HOME) Core Outcome Set) and adverse events are assessed at 4 weeks, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months.

Discussion The UPDATE trial aims to provide high-quality evidence regarding the (cost-)effectiveness and safety of NB-UVB phototherapy in moderate-to-severe AE patients. Challenges that are addressed in the protocol include the possible bias arising from applying open-label treatment and the necessity of introducing OTT into the study design to prevent a high dropout rate.

Trial registration ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05704205. Registered on December 8, 2022.

*Correspondence: Eva Knöps e.knops@amsterdamumc.nl Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 2 of 12

Keywords Atopic eczema, Atopic dermatitis, Phototherapy, NB-UVB, Topical therapy, Optimal topical therapy, Effectiveness, Cost-effectiveness, Safety, Randomized controlled trial

Introduction

Background and rationale

Atopic eczema (AE) (syn. atopic dermatitis) is a dermatological condition characterized by a chronic fluctuating pruritic inflammation of the skin. It is a common disease that affects 2–10% of the adult population, thereby posing a high burden in terms of health care costs [1–3]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Burden of Disease project, AE is in the top 50 of the most prevalent diseases worldwide and is the leading skin condition regarding disease burden measured by disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) [4].

In clinical practice, AE can be treated using a steppedcare approach [5, 6]. Patients whose AE is insufficiently controlled by standard topical care are eligible for optimal topical therapy (OTT), phototherapy, or systemic immunomodulating therapy.

OTT entails a combination of a personalized topical regimen with detailed instructions (including the fingertip unit method) and lifestyle recommendations, including advice regarding washing/bathing, avoidance of triggers and contact allergens, and psychological support. In the 2022 European guideline (EuroGuiDerm) on AE recommendations and suggestions for the optimal topical care of AE patients based on expert consensus can be found [5, 6].

Phototherapy is a frequently initiated first-line therapy for moderate-to-severe AE; it is prescribed by approximately 85% of European dermatologists as highlighted by a survey performed in 2018. Types of phototherapy include, i.e., photochemotherapy (PUVA), ultraviolet A-1 (UVA1), broadband ultraviolet B (BB-UVB), and narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy (NB-UVB). The 2018 survey clarified that NB-UVB is the most commonly applied type (80.9%) [7]. NB-UVB devices contain fluorescent lamps emitting UVB in the 311 nm to 313 nm range. Guidelines on the dosimetry of NB-UVB have mainly been published for psoriasis [8–12], but these dosing protocols are often also used for AE, as dosing studies for AE are not available.

High-quality evidence for the efficacy, (cost-)effectiveness, and safety of NB-UVB, however, does not exist for AE. Remarkably, NB-UVB for AE has only been studied in few short-term (9–12 weeks) and low-to-moderate-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs) [13]. Recently, a Cochrane systematic review assessed the currently available evidence on the different phototherapy options for AE [14]. It concluded that NB-UVB is safe

and effective in AE patients and may improve physicianassessed AE signs and patient-reported symptoms when compared to placebo. However, firm conclusions could not be drawn due to the available evidence being of very low to low certainty. It therefore remains unclear whether NB-UVB is (cost-)effective for the treatment of AE.

Recently, this lack of evidence has resulted in the imminent discontinuation of reimbursement of phototherapy for AE in the Netherlands by some health insurance companies [15]. Discontinuation of reimbursement of NB-UVB will lead to the loss of this therapeutic option. This may lead to a shift to new on-label and much more expensive systemic treatments (each with its own safety profile) that have been proven effective in RCTs. Besides, patients and dermatologists often hesitate to initiate systemic therapy (reasons include but are not limited to the costs of these treatments and the possibility of side effects) and hope to postpone this next step with phototherapy. In some patients, such as patients with a child wish, many topical and systemic therapies are not suitable. It is therefore important that this type of phototherapy is further investigated in a well-designed RCT to establish if NB-UVB should still have a place in the treatment algorithm.

Objectives

The aim of the UPDATE trial is to investigate the short-term and long-term effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and safety of NB-UVB+OTT versus OTT alone in the treatment of adult patients with moderate-to-severe AE who have inadequate disease control with topical therapy and who are eligible for OTT or NB-UVB+OTT as a next step. This will be investigated in a pragmatic, multicenter, prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded-endpoint (PROBE) trial with 1:1 allocation in a superiority framework [16].

Methods and trial design

Study setting

This study will be conducted in Dutch secondary and tertiary care centers. The listing of participating clinics and hospitals can be found on www.ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier NCT05704205.

Eligibility criteria

Adult patients with moderate-to-severe AE who have inadequate disease control with their current topical treatment will be included in this study. A variety of

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 3 of 12

topical treatments may have been tried including topical corticosteroids, calcineurin inhibitors, coal tar preparations, and emollients with more or less specific instructions due to the limited time in most secondary care settings. They are eligible for OTT or NB-UVB+OTT as a next step.

Inclusion criteria

In order to be eligible to participate in this study, a subject must meet all of the following criteria:

- Adult (≥18 years of age) patient meeting the UK working party criteria for AE [17];
- AE insufficiently controlled by standard topical care and therefore eligible for OTT or NB-UVB;
- Validated Investigator Global Assessment for Atopic Dermatitis (vIGA-AD) of ≥ 2 (moderate disease);
- Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI) of ≥7 (moderate disease); and
- Ability to provide written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria

A potential subject who meets any of the following criteria will be excluded from participation in this study:

Contra-indication for NB-UVB [18];

Genetic defects associated with photosensitivity or skin cancer;

Heavily photo-damaged skin;

History of melanoma or non-melanoma skin malignancies (in case of basal cell carcinoma patient is excluded if one has a history of > 2 basal cell carcinomas);

Use of systemic immunosuppressants/immunomodulators:

Use of medication associated with photosensitivity;

- Patient is already on systemic AE therapy;
- · Patient is already on OTT for the past 2 months; and
- NB-UVB or any systemic therapy with influence on AD in the past 9 months.

A course of prednisolone of 15 days or more is regarded as systemic AE therapy.

Informed consent

Subjects will be recruited from the patient population of each participating center. Possible eligible patients will be informed verbally about the study by a trained investigator. This may be in person or via telephone/video call. The patient will receive the participant information sheet and informed consent form (ICF) digitally or in print. Patients who agree to participate will be asked for written informed consent after reviewing the abovementioned information during a reflection period of at least one hour. In order to schedule the screening activities, it is allowed that the patient gives oral consent. The oral consent must be documented in the digital patient file. At the appointment of the screening activities the patient and the investigator will sign the informed consent form together. Allocated therapy cannot start before both parties have signed the ICF.

On the consent form, participants will be asked if they agree to the usage of their data should they choose to withdraw from the trial. Participants will also be asked for permission for the research team to share data to investigators, auditors, or regulatory authorities, where relevant. No ancillary studies are planned. This trial does not involve collecting biological specimens for storage.

Interventions

We will investigate a course of NB-UVB+OTT (home or out-patient) of 12 weeks (range of at least 8 weeks and up to 16 weeks) compared to OTT alone. In the interventional arm, NB-UVB will be given 3 times per week with daily OTT. In the control group daily OTT will be applied.

Intervention description

NB-UVB Both outpatient clinic and at-home NB-UVB will be assessed. The ultraviolet emission from phototherapy booths and panels is made possible by UVB lamps. NB-UVB devices contain fluorescent lamps emitting UVB in the 311 nm to 313 nm range [19].

In the out-patient clinic administration of NB-UVB rays will be executed according to the manufacturer's instructions by trained nurses. Patients undergoing athome therapy will receive detailed instructions on the treatment procedure prior to starting NB-UVB. During the course participants will be remotely monitored via the site's affiliated phototherapy panel supplier, following the local procedure. During administration, all patients will wear protective goggles and cover the genital area to shield the eyes and scrotum/vulva from ultraviolet rays. Administration will occur three times a week.

The patient's initial dosing and dosage modification during phototherapy will be done according to a standardized study dose regimen (Additional file 2). During NB-UVB treatment, the researcher (or if the researcher is not a physician: the researcher together with a supervising physician) will monitor therapy response and

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 4 of 12

tolerability and determine treatment duration (minimum of 8 weeks, maximum of 16 weeks).

After completing the NB-UVB course and applying 3 months of OTT (as listed below), patients are allowed to take the next step in the AE treatment algorithm if needed, being one of the currently available systemic immunomodulating therapies.

OTT All participants will use daily OTT. This consists of detailed instructions about the disease, corticophobia and treatments by trained nurses, bathing and showering advices, adequate emollients use, avoidance of triggers (including possible and proven contact allergens), fingertip unit (FTU) explanation and a personalized scheme of topical therapy with topical corticosteroids (TCS) of different potencies, topical calcineurin inhibitors (TCI), and tar ointments, based on the recommendations on the use of topical therapy in national and international guidelines [6, 18, 20].

In the NB-UVB+OTT group, patients are allowed to apply topical therapies two hours after UV irradiation. Patients will use OTT for at least 3 months guided by standardized informational material. All patients will be instructed to keep empty tubes of their used topical treatment (excluding emollients) and to bring them to the appointments in order to analyze potency and quantities between the NB-UVB+OTT vs OTT group.

After 3 months of OTT, if needed, patients are allowed to take the next step in the AE treatment algorithm, being one of the currently available systemic immunomodulating therapies. During follow-up, patients from the OTT group are not allowed a NB-UVB course.

Rescue medication For patients in the NB-UVB+OTT group, if sunburn occurs during NB-UVB, rescue medication consists of a one-time topical application of a class IV corticosteroid. For all patients, in case of severe uncontrolled AE during the study that requires rescue medication, a short course of oral prednisone can be prescribed [21]. Dosage and duration of this course will be determined by the treating physician. At the investigators' discretion, rescue medication is allowed in other situations after consulting with the coordinating investigator.

Withdrawal of individual subjects Patients can leave the study at any time for any reason if they wish to do so without consequences for their AE care. Investigators can decide to withdraw a patient from the study for urgent medical reasons. Reasons for withdrawal will be documented by the investigators. Withdrawal of participants has been accounted for in the sample size calculation.

If a participant prematurely discontinues study treatment, the patient will be encouraged to stay in the study to allow data collection at all remaining scheduled visits until completion of the planned end-of-study visit. The treating physician or research coordinator should aim to document the date of premature discontinuation from study treatment and the agreement of teh patient with collecting data and completing the remaining scheduled visits. Patients who fail the allocated therapy will, based on shared decision-making, continue with OTT or get screened and if eligible start with systemic immunomodulating therapy. During the follow-up period, patients will be monitored for effectiveness, safety, and satisfaction with the care received and have clinical assessments per schedule.

Outcomes

Outcomes and measurement instruments from the Core Outcome Set (COS) for AE clinical trials will be used [22]. The questionnaires can be found in Additional file 3.

Primary outcome

 Percentage of patients with EASI50 (a 50% decrease in EASI) at 3 months follow up (range EASI 0-72) [23, 24].

Secondary outcome

 Physician-reported clinical signs at 1–3–6–9– 12 months:

Delta EASI
Percentage of patients with EASI50
vIGA-AD (range 0–4) [25].
Time until a 25% reduction in mean EASI is achieved

- Type, frequency, and quantity of topical therapies used
- Time to switch to systemic therapy
- Use, amount, and duration of rescue medication
- Patient-reported symptoms at 1–3–6–9–12 months:

PGA (Patient Global Assessment) (range 0–4) [26]. POEM (Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure) (range 0–28) [27, 28]. Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 5 of 12

NRS-11 (Numeric Rating Scale) for peak itch over the past 24 h (range 0–10) [29].

• Patient-reported quality of life at 1–3–6–9–12 months:

DLQI (Dermatology Life Quality Index) (range 0–30) [30, 31].

• Patient-reported disease control at 1–3–6–9–12 months:

RECAP (recap of atopic eczema) (range 0–28) [32].

Patient-reported satisfaction with received treatment:

PsoSat (Psoriasis care Satisfaction questionnaire) (range 0–30) [33].

• Cost-effectiveness at 3 and 12 months:

EQ-5D-5L (EuroQol 5D 5L) (range 11,111–55,555) [34].

Adapted iMCQ (iMTA Medical Consumption Questionnaire) [35].

Adapted iPCQ (iMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire) [35].

- Percentage of patients who achieve Treatment Target goals for AE at 3 (EASI50, delta PGA≥ -1), 6-9-12 months (EASI≤7.0, PGA≤2) [36].
- Adverse events of special interest (AEoSIs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) at 1–3–6–9–12 months
- Percentage of therapy failures and dropouts and reasons at 1-3-6-9-12 months, categorized according to Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA).

Participant timeline

After screening and informed consent, participants will be randomized in the NB-UVB+OTT or OTT group. Study participation will entail 6 study visits. The baseline visit will take place before therapy starts. The follow-up visits are at 4 weeks, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. Visits may be rescheduled within 1 month of the initial planning. Extra visit(s) will take place in case of therapy switch or stop. Patients in the NB-UVB+OTT group will undergo phototherapy 3 times a week for a planned period of 12 weeks from baseline, with a possible range of 8 to 16 weeks, depending on therapy response. Therapy duration will be determined in accordance to standard clinical practice. NB-UVB can be applied in the outpatient clinic or at home. Participants in both groups will apply daily OTT for a minimum of 3 months. Patients will be asked to keep all tubes of used OTT (excluding emollients) and bring them to the study visits. During the visits, data about NB-UVB and OTT will be collected. Patients will be asked for possible SAEs and AEoSIs with details such as start and stop date, intensity/severity of adverse events, probability of relation with NB-UVB+OTT or OTT, whether the allocated therapy was interrupted, and co-medications. During all visits, a physical examination by a blinded outcome assessor will take place to assess disease severity. Additionally, a set of questionnaires will be sent to the patient. The questions can be filled out on an electronic device, either at home or during the study visit in the outpatient clinic. At baseline, 3 months, and 12 months, an additional set of questionnaires regarding disease burden on productivity and medical costs will be filled out (Fig. 1). Subjects that have completed trial participation or have discontinued prematurely will be referred back to standard eczema care.

Sample size

The required sample size is determined for the primary endpoint EASI50 at 3 months follow-up. Based on previous research published in the literature incidences of 50% effectiveness for reaching EASI50 using NB-UVB, and of 20–30% using only OTT are to be expected [37–40].

Sample sizes of 134 in both arms achieve 90% power to detect a difference between the group proportions of 20 percentage points. The proportion in the NB-UVB+OTT treatment group is assumed to be 30% under the null hypothesis and 50% under the alternative hypothesis. The assumed proportion in the control group treated with OTT alone is 30%. The test statistic used is the two-sided *Z*-test pooled variance. The significance level of the test is 5%. Interim analyses for early stopping will not be conducted; hence, no correction of the significance level for interim analyses is required. Allowing for a drop-out rate of up to 15%, a total of 316 patients will be recruited.

Recruitment

Subjects will be recruited from the patient population of each participating center. Additionally, recruitment will take place via the members of the Dutch Society of Dermatology and Venereology (NVDV) and the Dutch Association for People with Constitutional Eczema (VMCE). The investigators, NVDV members, and the VMCE board will be provided with promotional material that has been reviewed by the medical research ethics committee (MREC). In addition, MREC-evaluated promotional posters and texts will be distributed via NVDV- or VMCE-based media. This includes printed advertisements in magazines and digital promotion via sites/apps such as Facebook, Instagram, and LinkedIn.

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 6 of 12

	STUDY PERIOD						
	Enrolment	Allocation		Post-allocation			Close-out
TIMEPOINT	t=-1w	t=0w	t=4w	t=3m	t=6m	t=9m	t=12m
ENROLMENT							
Eligibility screen	Х						
Informed consent	Х						
Randomization		Х					
INTERVENTIONS						•	1
NB-UVB*		+		****	**		
отт		+		→····			•••• * ***
ASSESSMENTS				I.	I.	1	
Current topical therapy		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Current phototherapy*			Х	Х	Х		
Concomitant medication		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
SAE and AEoSI			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Physical examination						1	<u> </u>
Fitzpatrick skin type		Х					
EASI		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
vIGA-AD		Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х
Phenotype		Х		Х			Х
PROs							
POEM		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
NRS itch		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
DLQI		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
RECAP		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
PsoSat			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
EQ-5D-5L		Х		Х			Х
iPCQ		Х		Х			Х
iMCQ				Х			Х

Fig. 1 SPIRIT figure

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 7 of 12

Randomization

Patients who meet eligibility criteria and who have provided written informed consent will be randomly allocated in a 1:1 ratio to either NB-UVB+OTT or OTT alone. To ensure allocation concealment, automated randomization is performed by the investigator using the electronic data management system Castor EDC. Randomization will use random permuted blocks.

Blinding

During baseline- and follow-up visits, physician-reported outcomes (EASI, vIGA-AD) will be assessed. As these outcomes are subject to investigator bias, the physician outcome assessor will be blinded for treatment allocation. This independent (local) investigator will solely see the patient during the physical examination phase of the study visit. The patient will be instructed to not disclose their allocation outcome to this investigator. However, as NB-UVB may cause redness and tanning of the skin in light skin types, complete blinding of the outcome assessor will not be guaranteed. All other investigators or physicians performing study activities other than assessing EASI and vIGA-AD may be unblinded. The blinded outcome assessor may be unblinded when this is necessary to ensure patient safety.

Data collection and management

All data is handled confidentially and in accordance with the European General Data Protection Regulation.

During baseline- and follow-up visits, physician-reported outcomes will be assessed by a blinded assessor who will note his/her findings on paper. This source document will be dated, signed, and kept on-site. All other study activities and the registration of physician-reported outcomes into the digital case report form (CRF) will be done by the investigator performing the study visit. Patient-reported outcomes will be entered by the participant into the electronic data management system via an invitation link, during or after every study visit. No biological specimens will be collected.

All patients will be assigned a unique subject identification number. Patients can be identified through a subject identification log and all data kept centrally will therefore be pseudonymized. This key linking patients with their identification number will be in possession of the investigators. Subject identification logs are kept on-site only and will not be shared centrally or with other sites.

Data will be collected in an electronic data management system: Castor Electronic Data Capture, from CIWIT BV, Amsterdam, the Netherlands: www.castoredc.com. Personnel responsible, e.g., data entry, monitoring, data validation, data exporting, and database administration, will have access to the database. Data

handling will be described in the standard operating procedures (SOPs). All study documents will be stored securely in an environment only accessible to study team members. Data will be archived according to the local procedures of the sponsor. Data management was designed to adhere to the "FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship," aiming to optimize the Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reuse of digital assets [41].

Statistical methods

Statistical methods for primary and secondary outcomes

The primary outcome measure will be estimated as a relative risk and absolute risk difference with 95% confidence interval and *p*-value. The primary outcome will be analyzed using a generalized linear model with binomial distribution and log-, or identity-link respectively. The primary analysis will be run using the intention-to-treat population. Missing data for the primary outcome is expected to be low, although meaningful drop-out can be expected.

A secondary analysis will be done using the per-protocol population. Exploratory subgroup analyses will be run, e.g., location of receiving NB-UVB (out-patient clinic or at home) and below or above the median EASI score at baseline.

Dichotomous secondary outcomes will be presented as counts, percentages, and relative risks according 95% confidence interval, and *p*-values will be calculated using the chi-square test or Fisher exact test as appropriate. Continuous variables will be presented as means with standard deviation when data distribution is normal. In

Table 1 Adverse events of special interest

Adverse events of special interest (AEoSIs)

Sunburn

Photosensitive flare

Polymorfic light eruption

Burning and/or pruritus that requires management

Syncope

Development of skin cancer

Hyperpigmentation

Hypopigmentation

Contact allergy

Development of striae

Development of skin atrophy

Dermatitis perioralis/periorificialis

Folliculitis

Herpes simplex

Tinea incognito

Bacterial infection

Exacerbation of atopic eczema

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 8 of 12

case of skewed data medians with interquartile ranges will be used for presentation. The mean or median differences between the groups will be calculated with accompanying 95% confidence intervals using Satterthwaite's or Hodges-Lehmann's tests. Longitudinal data (repeated measures of, e.g., EASI, POEM, NRS-11, and EQ-5D-5L) will be analyzed using generalized estimating equations and adjusted for baseline values. Time to switching to systemic therapy will be analyzed as a time-to-event outcome using a Kaplan–Meier plot and log-rank test.

Multiple imputation will be used as necessary for secondary analyses and sensitivity analyses. The effects of intercurrent events will be assessed in sensitivity analyses. A detailed statistical analysis plan will be completed before the database lock.

The economic evaluation of NB-UVB as an add-on to OTT compared to OTT alone in this study population will be performed as a cost-utility analysis (CUA) from a societal perspective with the costs per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) as a primary outcome. Health utilities will be derived from the EQ-5D-5L health status profiles using the existing scoring algorithm [42]. The scoring algorithm is based on preferences in the general population for being in a particular health state, using the time trade-off elicitation technique. The health utility score may range from – 0.44 to 1.0, reflecting the worst, respectively, best health states possible. Deceased patients will be assigned a health utility score of 0. QALYs will be calculated as the area under the curve of health utility scores over time. In addition, a cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) will be done with the costs per patient with a EASI50 as an outcome. The analysis will include the costs of health care (in-patient and out-patient hospital care, institutional care elsewhere, out-of-hospital care like general practitioner, and extramural drugs) and costs of productivity loss resulting from sick leave from work or lowered efficiency while at work. The volume of resources used will be gathered with clinical report forms and with patient questionnaires on medical consumption (iMCQ) and productivity loss (iPCQ) adapted for this study. No interim analyses are planned.

Oversight and monitoring

Composition and responsibilities of the coordinating center and trial steering committee

This trial will be run by dermatologists, dermatological residents, (research) nurses, and PhD students in participating centers. They will be appointed, trained, and overseen by the trial steering committee consisting of the principal investigator, two project leads, a project coordinator, a methodologist, two experts in health technology assessment, a professional representative, a patient representative, and a representative of non-academic

hospitals. The trial steering committee regularly meets with executive- and monitoring parties and produces progress reports to the sponsor and funders. The principal investigators, co-investigator, project coordinator, and methodologist conduct data management. All parties involved in the trial, including the participating centers, signed a consortium agreement that simultaneously serves as a clinical trial agreement. This legal document is kept in the trial master file and local investigator site files.

Monitoring

Monitoring will be performed in compliance with Good Clinical Practice (GCP), the guideline of the Dutch Federation of University Medical Centers (NFU), and additional regulations, if applicable. The trial sponsor appointed Trialbureau Zorgevaluatie Nederland (www. zorgevaluatienederland.nl/trialbureaus/trialbureau) as the party that will perform monitoring. An independent qualified monitor from this party will have access to the data and source documents of the study and will perform on-site or remote quality checks on the data collection, verification of data, the rights and wellbeing of the patients, and adherence to the study protocol. All sites will be visited by the monitor at least once during the study. Central remote monitoring will occur three months after the first included patient, followed by twice yearly. All monitoring arrangements and other details regarding quality assurance will be bundled in a separate monitoring plan.

Adverse event reporting

An adverse event is an undesirable experience occurring to a subject during the study, whether or not considered related to the study activities. As this study's investigational therapy is already standard clinical practice, we theorize that it can be accurately predicted which adverse events are study related. The known short- and long-term side effects are considered mild. Therefore we consider it safe to not include all adverse events in this study's dataset. Investigators will instead report the adverse events that are of special interest to this study. A full list can be found in Table 1. All adverse events will be categorized according to MedDRA.

Adverse events of special interest (AEoSI) An adverse event of special interest is defined as an undesirable experience occurring to a subject during the study, whether or not considered related to the study activities, that is of interest to this study. All AEoSIs reported spontaneously

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 9 of 12

by the subject or observed by the investigator or his staff will be recorded and analyzed (Table 1).

Serious adverse events (SAEs) A serious adverse event is defined as any untoward medical occurrence or effect that.

- · Results in death;
- Is life-threatening (at the time of the event);
- Requires hospitalization or prolongation of existing inpatients' hospitalization;
- Results in persistent or significant disability or incapacity; and
- Any other important medical event that did not result in any of the outcomes listed above due to medical or surgical intervention but could have been based upon appropriate judgment by the investigator.

An elective hospital admission will not be considered as an SAE.

The investigator will report all SAEs to the sponsor (Amsterdam University Medical Centers) without undue delay after obtaining knowledge of the events. The sponsor will report the SAEs through the web portal ToetsingOnline to the accredited MREC that approved the protocol, within 7 days of first knowledge for SAEs that result in death or are life-threatening followed by a period of a maximum of 8 days to complete the initial preliminary report. All other SAEs will be reported within a period of a maximum of 15 days after the sponsor has first knowledge of the SAEs.

Follow-up of AEoSIs and SAEs All AEoSIs and SAEs will be followed until they have abated, or until a stable situation has been reached. Depending on the event, follow-up may require additional tests or medical procedures as indicated, and/or referral to the general physician or a medical specialist. SAEs will be reported according to GCP guidelines until the study ends for the patient (when all 6 visits are completed, when the patient discontinues prematurely, or when the study is prematurely terminated).

Amendments

Amendments are changes made to the study after a favorable opinion by the accredited MREC has been given. The MREC that gave a favorable opinion will be notified of all amendments. Should amendments to the study protocol occur, an update will be given to the

clinical trial registry. Occasional deviations from the study protocol will be fully documented using a breach report form.

Dissemination plans

The investigators will adhere to the statement on publication policy made by the Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects (CCMO). The results of this trial will be published in peer-reviewed international medical scientific journals, preferably open access, regardless of the conclusions drawn about the investigational therapy. For knowledge sharing, (preliminary) findings will be presented at (inter)national research meetings and conferences. Participants will be informed of the results through a lay summary. This summary will additionally be disseminated to patients through the Dutch patient association VMCE.

Discussion

The UPDATE trial aims to provide high-quality evidence of the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and safety of NB-UVB in the short- and long-term when utilized as a treatment option for patients with moderate-to-severe AE. It will contribute to current knowledge of NB-UVB as a phototherapy modality for AE [14], and will be helpful to determine whether NB-UVB has a place in the current treatment algorithm for AE [6].

Assessing NB-UVB in the setting of a randomized trial poses several challenges. During the drafting of the study design, these challenges have been carefully identified and addressed.

The first challenge in the design of this study concerned the choice of the appropriate control group. Eligible study participants are AE patients who require a next step in care. Use of a no treatment or placebo control group would mean denying the control patients further management of their moderate-to-severe AE, and, therefore was considered unethical. Additionally, such a design will inevitably lead to a large number of drop-outs in the control group. Since the topical treatment of the study population still leaves room for improvement, we deem OTT with clear but pragmatic and personalized instructions, an appropriate choice of control group. Therefore, we consider a pragmatic trial in which all participants are treated with OTT and that investigates the benefit of NB-UVB as an add-on to OTT, the most appropriate choice [43]. With this design in a closer to real-life setting that enables all patients to benefit from trial participation, we believe that the UPDATE trial will provide high-quality data that is easily applicable to clinical practice.

Another challenge concerns the study patients and physicians being aware of allocated treatment. Blinding is an

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 10 of 12

NRS

PSOSAT

important component to ensure valid trial results and minimal bias. However, blinding of NB-UVB treatment is deemed logistically infeasible, as this would require a placebo (such as a blue light panel) that is not readily available in an outpatient- or at-home setting. Taking patient safety and -ethics into account, the current study design is considered the best possible option. By introducing an independent outcome assessor who is blinded to treatment allocation, physician-reported outcomes are in theory protected from confirmation bias. Additionally, patients will be instructed to not disclose their allocated treatment to the blinded investigator. In the assessment of NB-UVB, however, it may be visible to some degree to blinded researchers if a subject is or was receiving phototherapy. As NB-UVB may cause redness and tanning of the skin, complete blinding of the outcome assessor will not be guaranteed. This may affect lighter skin types more than darker skin types, as redness and tanning may be more visible.

In addition to the study limitations, the issue of reimbursement of NB-UVB should be considered. In the Netherlands, the process of retracting reimbursement is already in effect, leading to the out-phasing of NB-UVB as a treatment modality in the AE algorithm. This single trial may not suffice to provide compelling evidence to reverse this trend, but will need to be consolidated through pooling with other studies in an updated systematic review and possible meta-analysis. We expect with our UPDATE trial to importantly contribute to this matter.

Trial status

Date of start recruitment
February 22nd 2023.
Date of completed recruitment (approximate)
July 1st 2024.

Abbreviations

AE Atopic eczema

AEoSI Adverse event of special interest

BB Broadband

BIA Budget impact analysis

CCMO Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects; in

Dutch: Centrale Commissie Mensgebonden Onderzoek

COS Core Outcome Set CRF Case report form

DALY Disability-adjusted life years
DLQI Dermatology Life Quality Index
DSMB Data Safety Monitoring Board
EASI Eczema Area and Severity Index

EQ-5D-5L EuroQol 5D 5L
FTU Fingertip unit
GCP Good Clinical Practice
ICF Informed consent form
IGA Investigator Global Assessment

IMCQ
 IMTA Medical Consumption Questionnaire
 IPCQ
 IMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire
 MedDRA
 Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
 MREC
 Medical research ethics committee

NB Narrowband

NFU Dutch Federation of University Medical Centers; in Dutch:

Nederlandse Federatie van Universitair Medische Centra

Numeric Rating Scale

NVDV Dutch Society of Dermatology and Venereology; in Dutch: Ned-

erlandse Vereniging voor Dermatologie en Venerologie

OTT Optimal topical therapy
PGA Patient Global Assessment
POEM Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure

PROBE Prospective Randomized Open-label Blinded-Endpoint

Psoriasis care Satisfaction questionnaire

PUVA Photochemotherapy **RCT** Randomized controlled trial **RECAP** Recap of atopic eczema (S)AE (Serious) adverse event SOP Standard operating procedure TCI Topical calcineurin inhibitor TCS Topical corticosteroid UK United Kingdom UVA1 Ultraviolet A-1 UVB Ultraviolet B

VMCE Association for People with Constitutional Eczema; in Dutch:

Vereniging voor Mensen met Constitutioneel Eczeem

vIGA AD Validated IGA for atopic dermatitis

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-024-08334-z.

Additional file 1: Dosing regimens for NB-UVB

Additional file 2: SPIRIT checklist Additional file 3: Questionnaires

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge patient association VMCE for invaluable contributions to the project made from a patient-centric perspective. Furthermore, we acknowledge the Dutch association for Dermatology and Venereology (NVDV) as their endorsement was integral to the drafting of the trial, underscoring their commitment to continually advance in evidence-based treatment of AE. A final acknowledgement is given to Trialbureau Zorgevaluatie Nederland for their help in conceiving the projects' operational aspects and their continued support during the planning stages of the trial.

Authors' contributions

EK is the corresponding author and executive coordinator of the trial. PS is the principal investigator, and together with LG, the project lead, they led the proposal- and protocol development. DH is co-investigator and assisted as project lead. RD is the lead methodologist and contributed to the protocol chapters regarding design, outcome measures, sample size, and statistical analysis. MD and MB advised on the topic of cost-utility and drafted this section of the methods and statistical analysis. Patient representative BA co-drafted study design and reviewed patient information materials and further study documents. AE contributed as a professional representative and with her background in methodology helped with further development of study design and dissemination strategies. FG assisted in the design of the trial, focusing on the feasibility of the trial in non-academic centers. MM contributed as a methodologist to the initial methodological design of the RCT. Further design of the trial and coordination of drafting tasks, including writing the application for ZonMw funding, was conducted by PMH, AM, AB, AH, and JD. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The principal investigators, study coordinators, methodologists, and monitors of the project will have access to the final trial dataset. Direct access will be granted to authorized representatives from the sponsor, host institution, and the regulatory authorities to permit trial-related monitoring, audits, and inspections. All data required by this protocol will be made available upon request.

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 11 of 12

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The MREC of the Academic Medical Center Amsterdam approved of this trial, reference number 2022.0675 NL81882.018.22. Written, informed consent to participate will be obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication

By signing the informed consent form, all participants consent to the publication of their (pseudonymized) data. We are willing to provide a model consent form on request.

Competing interests

PS has received departmental independent research grants for the TREAT NL registry from Pharma since December 2019, is the Chief Investigator (CI) of the systemic and phototherapy atopic eczema registry (TREAT NL) for adults and children, and is involved in performing clinical trials with many pharmaceutical industries that manufacture drugs used for the treatment of, e.g., psoriasis and atopic dermatitis, for which financial compensation is paid to the department/hospital. She was involved in the development of one of the HOME core outcome instruments (recap of atopic eczema (RECAP)), and in the development of the Outcome Measures for VAscular Malformations (OVAMA) questionnaire for vascular malformations.

FG is on the advisory board of Abbvie and LEO pharma, organized a conference in association with Abbvie, and was a speaker at conferences for Abbvie, Sanofi. and Novartis.

PMH received departmental independent research grants for TREAT NL registry from Pharma since December 2019 for the TREAT NL registry and is an investigator of the systemic and phototherapy atopic eczema registry (TREAT NL) for adults and children. She received a departmental independent research grant from the BIOMAP project and is part of the BIOMAP consortium. She is on the Dutch advisory board of Sanofi.

DH is or has been a consultant and/or investigator for Abbvie, Almirall, Astra-Zeneca, Galderma, Janssen, LEO pharma, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, and UCB. LG is one of the main investigators of the TREatment of ATopic eczema (TREAT) NL registry, which has received departmental independent research grants from pharmaceutical industries since December 2019. EK, RD, MD, MB, BA, AE, MM, AM, AB, AH, and JD declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Dermatology, Amsterdam UMC, Location Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam Public Health, Infection and Immunity, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam Reproduction & Development Research Institute, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. ³Department of Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. ⁴Dutch Association for People With Atopic Dermatitis, Nijkerk, the Netherlands. ⁵Nederlandse Vereniging Voor Dermatologie en Venereologie, NVDV, Utrecht, the Netherlands. ⁶Department of Dermatology, HagaZiekenhuis, The Hague, the Netherlands. ⁷Department of Dermatology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Received: 11 March 2024 Accepted: 11 July 2024 Published online: 16 July 2024

References

- Bosma AL, Ouwerkerk W, Gunal M, Hyseni AM, Arents BWM, Gerbens LAA, et al. Work ability and quality of working life in atopic dermatitis patients treated with dupilumab. J Dermatol. 2021;48(9):1305–14.
- Nielen MMJ. Constitutioneel eczeem: Volksgezondheid en Zorg; 2020. Available from: https://www.vzinfo.nl/constitutioneel-eczeem/leeftijden-geslacht. Updated March 28th 2022. Accessed 30 June 2022
- Nutten S. Atopic dermatitis: global epidemiology and risk factors. Ann Nutr Metab. 2015;66(Suppl 1):8–16.
- Hay RJ, Johns NE, Williams HC, Bolliger IW, Dellavalle RP, Margolis DJ, et al. The global burden of skin disease in 2010: an analysis of

- the prevalence and impact of skin conditions. J Invest Dermatol. 2014;134(6):1527–34.
- Wollenberg A, Kinberger M, Arents B, Aszodi N, Avila Valle G, Barbarot S, et al. European guideline (EuroGuiDerm) on atopic eczema: part I systemic therapy. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2022;36(9):1409–31.
- Wollenberg A, Kinberger M, Arents B, Aszodi N, Avila Valle G, Barbarot S, et al. European guideline (EuroGuiDerm) on atopic eczema part II: non-systemic treatments and treatment recommendations for special AE patient populations. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2022;36(11):1904–26.
- Vermeulen FM, Gerbens LAA, Schmitt J, Deleuran M, Irvine AD, Logan K, et al. The European TREatment of ATopic eczema (TREAT) Registry Taskforce survey: prescribing practices in Europe for phototherapy and systemic therapy in adult patients with moderate-to-severe atopic eczema. Br J Dermatol. 2020;183(6):1073–82.
- Beani JC, Jeanmougin M. Narrow-band UVB therapy in psoriasis vulgaris: good practice guideline and recommendations of the French Society of Photodermatology. Ann Dermatol Venereol. 2010;137(1):21–31.
- Ibbotson SH, Bilsland D, Cox NH, Dawe RS, Diffey B, Edwards C, et al. An update and guidance on narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy: a British Photodermatology Group Workshop Report. Br J Dermatol. 2004;151(2):283–97.
- Menter A, Korman NJ, Elmets CA, Feldman SR, Gelfand JM, Gordon KB, et al. Guidelines of care for the management of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: Section 5. Guidelines of care for the treatment of psoriasis with phototherapy and photochemotherapy. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2010;62(1):114–35.
- Parrish JA, Jaenicke KF. Action spectrum for phototherapy of psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol. 1981;76(5):359–62.
- Spuls PI, Tuut MK, van Everdingen JJ, de Rie MA. Werkgroep Psoriasis van de Nederlandse Vereniging voor Dermatologie en Venereologie. Richtlijn "Foto(chemo)therapie en systemische therapie bij ernstie chronische plaque-psoriasis" [The practice guideline 'Photo(chemo)therapy and systemic therapy in severe chronic plaque-psoriasis']. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2004;148(43):2121–5.
- Garritsen FM, Brouwer MW, Limpens J, Spuls PI. Photo(chemo)therapy in the management of atopic dermatitis: an updated systematic review with implications for practice and research. Br J Dermatol. 2014;170(3):501–13.
- Musters AH, Mashayekhi S, Harvey J, Axon E, Lax SJ, Flohr C, Drucker AM, Gerbens L, Ferguson J, Ibbotson S, Dawe RS, Garritsen F, Brouwer M, Limpens J, Prescott LE, Boyle RJ, Spuls PI. Phototherapy for atopic eczema. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;10(10):CD013870.
- van Hees C. Lichttherapie bij dermatosen ter discussie. Ned Tijdschr Dermatol Venereol. 2019;29(2):46.
- Hansson L, Hedner T, Dahlöf B. Prospective randomized open blinded end-point (PROBE) study. A novel design for intervention trials. Prospective Randomized Open Blinded End-Point. Blood Press. 1992;1(2):113–9.
- Williams HC, Burney PG, Pembroke AC, Hay RJ. The U.K. Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis. III. Independent hospital validation. Br J Dermatol. 1994;131(3):406–16.
- Nederlandse Vereniging voor Dermatologie en Venereologie (NVDV). Lichttherapie Leidraad 2020. Available from: https://nvdv.nl/storage/app/media/NVDV%20leidraad%20lichttherapie%202020.pdf. Accessed 8 July 2023
- van Weelden H, De La Faille HB, Young E, van der Leun JC. A new development in UVB phototherapy of psoriasis. Br J Dermatol. 1988;119(1):11–9.
- Arents BWM, Breukels MA, Bruijnzeel-Koomen C, de Bruin-Weller MS, Chung YY, van Everdingen JJE, et al. Constitutioneel eczeem - Richtlijn 2019. Nederlandse Vereniging voor Dermatologie en Venereologie (NVDV). Available from: https://www.huidziekten.nl/richtlijnen/richtlijnatopisch-eczeem-2019.pdf. Accessed 8 July 2023.
- Drucker AM, Ellis AG, Bohdanowicz M, Mashayekhi S, Yiu ZZN, Rochwerg B, et al. Systemic Immunomodulatory Treatments for Patients With Atopic Dermatitis: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. JAMA Dermatol. 2020;156(6):659–67.
- Williams HC, Schmitt J, Thomas KS, Spuls PI, Simpson EL, Apfelbacher CJ, et al. The HOME Core outcome set for clinical trials of atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2022;149(6):1899–911.

Knöps et al. Trials (2024) 25:482 Page 12 of 12

- Grinich EE, Schmitt J, Kuster D, Spuls PI, Williams HC, Chalmers JR, et al. Standardized reporting of the Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI) and the Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM): a recommendation by the Harmonising Outcome Measures for Eczema (HOME) Initiative. Br J Dermatol. 2018:179(2):540–1.
- Hanifin JM, Baghoomian W, Grinich E, Leshem YA, Jacobson M, Simpson EL. The Eczema Area and Severity Index-A Practical Guide. Dermatitis. 2022;33(3):187–92.
- 25. Simpson E, Bissonnette R, Eichenfield LF, Guttman-Yassky E, King B, Silverberg JI, Beck LA, Bieber T, Reich K, Kabashima K, Seyger M, Siegfried E, Stingl G, Feldman SR, Menter A, van de Kerkhof P, Yosipovitch G, Paul C, Martel P, Dubost-Brama A, Armstrong J, Chavda R, Frey S, Joubert Y, Milutinovic M, Parneix A, Teixeira HD, Lin CY, Sun L, Klekotka P, Nickoloff B, Dutronc Y, Mallbris L, Janes JM, DeLozier AM, Nunes FP, Paller AS. The Validated Investigator Global Assessment for Atopic Dermatitis (vIGA-AD): The development and reliability testing of a novel clinical outcome measurement instrument for the severity of atopic dermatitis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2020;83(3):839–46.
- Chalmers JR, Thomas KS, Apfelbacher C, Williams HC, Prinsen CA, Spuls PI, et al. Report from the fifth international consensus meeting to harmonize core outcome measures for atopic eczema/dermatitis clinical trials (HOME initiative). Br J Dermatol. 2018;178(5):e332–41.
- Charman CR, Venn AJ, Williams HC. The Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure: Development and Initial Validation of a New Tool for Measuring Atopic Eczema Severity From the Patients' Perspective. Arch Dermatol. 2004;140(12):1513–9.
- Spuls PI, Gerbens LAA, Simpson E, Apfelbacher CJ, Chalmers JR, Thomas KS, et al. Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM), a core instrument to measure symptoms in clinical trials: a Harmonising Outcome Measures for Eczema (HOME) statement. Br J Dermatol. 2017;176(4):979–84.
- Yosipovitch G, Reaney M, Mastey V, Eckert L, Abbe A, Nelson L, et al. Peak Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale: psychometric validation and responder definition for assessing itch in moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. Br J Dermatol. 2019;181(4):761–9.
- Patel KR, Singam V, Vakharia PP, Chopra R, Sacotte R, Patel N, et al. Measurement properties of three assessments of burden used in atopic dermatitis in adults. Br J Dermatol. 2019;180(5):1083–9.
- Thomas KS, Apfelbacher CA, Chalmers JR, Simpson E, Spuls PI, Gerbens LAA, et al. Recommended core outcome instruments for health-related quality of life, long-term control and itch intensity in atopic eczema trials: results of the HOME VII consensus meeting. Br J Dermatol. 2021;185(1):139–46.
- 32. Howells LM, Chalmers JR, Gran S, Ahmed A, Apfelbacher C, Burton T, et al. Development and initial testing of a new instrument to measure the experience of eczema control in adults and children: Recap of atopic eczema (RECAP). Br J Dermatol. 2020;183(3):524–36.
- Cheng BT, Silverberg JI. Atopic Dermatitis Is Associated With Lower Patient Satisfaction in US Adults. Dermatitis. 2022;33(5):323–31.
- Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, Janssen M, Kind P, Parkin D, et al. Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). Qual Life Res. 2011;20(10):1727–36.
- Bouwmans C, Krol M, Severens H, Koopmanschap M, Brouwer W, Hakkaart-van RL. The iMTA Productivity Cost Questionnaire: A Standardized Instrument for Measuring and Valuing Health-Related Productivity Losses. Value Health. 2015;18(6):753–8.
- De Bruin-Weller M, Biedermann T, Bissonnette R, Deleuran M, Foley P, Girolomoni G, et al. Treat-to-Target in Atopic Dermatitis: An International Consensus on a Set of Core Decision Points for Systemic Therapies. Acta Derm Venereol. 2021;101(2):adv00402.
- Kwon S, Choi JY, Shin JW, Huh CH, Park KC, Du MH, et al. Changes in Lesional and Non-lesional Skin Microbiome During Treatment of Atopic Dermatitis. Acta Derm Venereol. 2019;99(3):284–90.
- Reynolds NJ, Franklin V, Gray JC, Diffey BL, Farr PM. Narrow-band ultraviolet B and broad-band ultraviolet A phototherapy in adult atopic eczema: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2001;357(9273):2012–6.
- 39. Tzung TY, Lin CB, Chen YH, Yang CY. Pimecrolimus and narrowband UVB as monotherapy or combination therapy in children and adolescents with atopic dermatitis. Acta Derm Venereol. 2006;86(1):34–8.
- 40. Youssef R, Hafez V, Elkholy Y, Mourad A. Glycerol 85% efficacy on atopic skin and its microbiome: a randomized controlled trial

- with clinical and bacteriological evaluation. J Dermatolog Treat. 2021;32(7):730–6.
- 41. Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJ, Appleton G, Axton M, Baak A, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci Data. 2016;3:160018.
- Versteegh M M, Vermeulen K M, Evers S AA, de Wit GA S, Prenger R, Stolk E A. Dutch Tariff for the Five-Level Version of EQ-5D. Value in Health. 2016;19(4):343–52.
- 43. Williams HC, Burden-Teh E, Nunn AJ. What is a pragmatic clinical trial? J Invest Dermatol. 2015;135(6):1–3.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.