

COMMENTARY

Open Access



TB research requires strong protections, innovation, and increased funding in response to COVID-19

B. T. Nyang'wa^{1,2}, A. N. LaHood³, C. D. Mitnick^{3,4*†}  and L. Guglielmetti^{5,6,7†}

To the editor:

When 2020 opened, approximately 11 million new tuberculosis (TB) cases and nearly 1.5 million TB-related deaths were predicted during the year. And, the gap between required and available research and development resources for TB was estimated at more than 1 billion USD [1]. COVID-19, the global pandemic of disease caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has surely widened that gap by worsening the TB pandemic. Worryingly, the economic devastation wrought by COVID-19 portends further dramatic reductions in funds for other health research [2], including for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). Such constraints will prevent the use of extant TB research infrastructure to support cross-disease benefits in the struggle against COVID-19 and future pandemics [3].

Several reports have estimated the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on TB incidence and mortality. In one (conservative) scenario, a 3-month lockdown and 10-month period to restore TB services are estimated to cause 6.3 million excess TB cases and nearly 1.4 million excess TB deaths over 5 years [4]. Another recent model illustrated that even with presumed reduced social contact, the effects of service interruptions would still be profound, especially in settings, like China, in which reactivation is a major driver of disease burden [5]. Pandemic and containment effects conspire to worsen the TB pandemic in many ways. Health facilities have

been shuttered or repurposed for COVID-19 care, leading to widespread reports of TB facility closures [6]. Drug shortages are expected as a result of supply-chain disruptions and reduced exportation [7]. TB laboratories activities are often impacted due to resource reallocation and lack of trained staff [8]. Opportunities for TB transmission increase during shelter-in-place orders, curfews, and with reassignment of health staff to COVID-related activities. Meanwhile, protections against TB and poor outcomes are reduced with loss of wages and compromised nutrition [9]. The overall direct and indirect impact of COVID-19 on health, with important implications for TB, has been recently described [10].

This unprecedented constellation of factors heightens the importance of innovation in TB treatment. Even before COVID-19, a robust modelling exercise concluded that new interventions would be required to achieve the sustainable development goal target for TB in certain high-burden TB countries, such as India and China [11]. Such innovation emerges through the conduct of rigorous, carefully monitored, albeit lengthy, clinical research. An urgent research objective is the development of, effective, safe, well-tolerated, injectable-sparing, and shortened treatment for all forms of MDR-TB. Achievement of this goal is even more important in the era of COVID-19: regimens that demand less of patients and health systems, and that can assure better outcomes, are critical in times of disruption [12]. Yet COVID-19 pandemic conditions threaten, rather than accelerate, currently enrolling (and planned) TB trials. Some regulators and trial experts have recommended delaying or suspending clinical research until, for example, “the SARS-CoV-2 viral burden... is low” to avert SARS-CoV-2 infections and avoid compromising scientific integrity of studies [13]. It is critical to balance the human and

* Correspondence: carole_mitnick@hms.harvard.edu

†C. D. Mitnick and L. Guglielmetti contributed equally to this work.

³Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁴Partners In Health, Boston, MA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

scientific risks of maintaining health research in this environment with the health consequences of delays and disruptions.

Three trials affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, endTB, endTB-Q, and TB-PRACTECAL, all sponsored by Médecins Sans Frontières, illustrate how COVID-19 aggravates the well-documented inherent challenge of conducting MDR-TB treatment trials [14]. The disease is most prevalent in populations and health systems suffering from grave inequalities that amplify pandemic effects [14]. endTB (NCT02754765), endTB-Q (NCT03896685), and TB-PRACTECAL (NCT02589782) are late-stage multi-country, randomized, pragmatic, open-label clinical trials with internal, dynamic control arms. All feature innovative study designs: endTB includes Bayesian response-adaptive randomization; endTB-Q adapts treatment duration according to characteristics and treatment response of participants; TB-PRACTECAL is an adaptive multi-arm multi-stage trial. Each trial includes rifampin-resistant (RR) TB patients: endTB includes patients with fluoroquinolone (FQ)-susceptible RR-TB while endTB-Q includes FQ-resistant RR-TB patients; TB-PRACTECAL includes patients regardless of FQ resistance status. Two (endTB and TB-PRACTECAL) had been enrolling for an extended period prior to the outbreak of COVID-19. The third, endTB-Q, was activated early in the pandemic. endTB, endTB-Q, and TB-PRACTECAL planned to enrol 750, 324, and 630 participants from 7, 6, and 3 countries, respectively.

All three trials have had to adopt strategies to ensure patient and staff safety while maintaining study activities—including treatment—and integrity. Strategies include establishing alternate locations for in-person visits; increased use of remote, virtual visits including video

observation for treatment monitoring; extending the intervals between visits and increasing the quantity of medications dispensed; and rethinking trial monitoring to conform to regulatory guidance [15]. Moreover, substantial investments are required to protect study participants and staff from risks of transmission of SARS-CoV-2. This includes testing for SARS-CoV-2 infection, provision of personal protective equipment, means (nutritional and social support, additional housing) to facilitate quarantine and/or isolation, and increased use of private transport to avert COVID-19 transmission risk conferred by public transport. Human resource adjustments have included staggered work schedules, (improved) paid medical leave for sick staff, and enhanced salaries and benefits to retain study staff in the face of increased risk and emerging, better-remunerated COVID-19-response job opportunities. Lastly, with fewer patients receiving TB services, trial enrollments and timelines have been impacted (see Table 1). endTB and endTB-Q results are expected to be delayed by 6–8 months in part due to important decreases in TB case notifications in Lesotho and Kazakhstan. TB-PRACTECAL's expected completion was delayed by 12 months; the stage 1 to stage 2 adaptation that had been planned to start in March 2020 was deprioritized to focus on COVID-19 adaptations and was not started until October 2020. The trial has, however, terminated recruitment early following an Independent Data and Safety Monitoring Board's recommendation unrelated to the delays [16].

Budget projections for research studies underway could not have anticipated either the delays or the necessary protective measures [7]. Without increased commitments to TB research funding, critical innovations in TB treatment will be compromised; opportunities are lost to leverage learning from application of these

Table 1 DR-TB background notifications and trial enrollments in select endTB, endTB-Q and TB-PRACTECAL study areas in 2019 and 2020, and percent change

Country	Setting	DR-TB notifications or trial enrollments Quarter 1 (Jan–Mar)			DR-TB notifications or trial enrollments Quarter 2 (Apr–Jun)		
		2019	2020	% change 2019–2020	2019	2020	% change 2019–2020
Belarus	Background notification*	253	232	– 8.3%	212	163	– 23.1%
	TB-PRACTECAL†	6	12	+ 100%	5	8	+ 60%
Kazakhstan	Background notification‡	95	62	– 34.7%	93	45	– 51.6%
	endTB AND endTB-Q	18	6	– 66.6%	19	6	– 68.4%
India	Background notification§	230	252	+ 9.57%	217	142	– 34.6%
	endTB AND endTB-Q¶	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Lesotho	Background notification**	49	38	– 22.4%	38	21	– 44.7%
	endTB AND endTB-Q	6	1	– 83.3%	5	2	– 60%

*National data

†Trial catchment area was expanded in Q4 of 2019

‡Almaty and Nur-Sultan cities

§Centenary, Govandi, and Chembur districts in Mumbai

¶endTB and endTB-Q trials began enrolling in Q4 2020 in India

**National data

mitigation measures to routine TB care, and about joint COVID and TB services [3].

In summary, the COVID-19 pandemic threatens populations through myriad direct and indirect effects. Disrupted TB services and research figure prominently among the ways in which COVID-19 could leave a lasting legacy. It is more critical than ever to increase funding for TB research to enhance the ability to prevent, diagnose, and treat TB in good times and in bad.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

BTN conceived the work and substantively revised the draft. ANL did the acquisition and analysis of data and substantively revised the draft. CDM conceived, drafted, and substantively revised the work. LG conceived the work and substantively revised the draft. All authors approved the submitted version of the draft and agreed to be accountable for the work.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

ANL, LG, and CDM receive funding from Unitaid for the endTB/endTB-Q trials.

BTN is Chief Investigator and Project Manager of an MSF-sponsored clinical trial (TB-PRACTECAL) and is employed by MSF.

Author details

¹Manson Unit, Médecins Sans Frontières, London, UK. ²Clinical Research Department, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ³Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ⁴Partners In Health, Boston, MA, USA. ⁵Médecins Sans Frontières, Paris, France. ⁶Sorbonne Université, INSERM, U1135, Centre d'Immunologie Et Des Maladies Infectieuses, Paris, France. ⁷APHP, Groupe Hospitalier Universitaire Sorbonne Université, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Centre National De Référence Des Mycobactéries Et De La Résistance Des Mycobactéries Aux Antituberculeux, Paris, France.

Received: 14 January 2021 Accepted: 18 May 2021

Published online: 29 May 2021

References

1. Tuberculosis Research Funding Trends 2005-2018 [Internet]. Treatment Action Group; 2019. Available from: https://www.treatmentactiongroup.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/tbrd_2019_web.pdf.
2. Baumann J. Lost Year Looms for Medical Research Under Stopgap Spending Bill [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 29]. Available from: <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/pharma-and-life-sciences/lost-year-looms-for-medical-research-under-stopgap-spending-bill>.
3. Tomlinson C. TB research investments provide returns in combating both TB and COVID-19: sustained and expanded financing is needed to safeguard tuberculosis research against COVID-19-related disruptions and improve global epidemic preparedness [Internet]. Treatment Action Group; 2020. Available from: https://www.treatmentactiongroup.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/TAG_tb_covid_brief_final_aug_2020.pdf.
4. The potential impact of the COVID-19 response on tuberculosis in high-burden countries: a modelling analysis [Internet]. Stop TB Partnership; 2020 May. Available from: http://www.stoptb.org/assets/documents/news/Modeling%20Report_1%20May%202020_FINAL.pdf.
5. McQuaid CF, McCreesh N, Read JM, Sumner T, CMMID COVID-19 Working Group, Houben RMGJ, et al. The potential impact of COVID-19-related disruption on tuberculosis burden. *Eur Respir J*. 2020;56(2) PMID: PMC7278504.
6. The impact of COVID-19 on the TB epidemic: A community perspective [Internet]. Stop TB Partnership; 2020. Available from: <http://www.stoptb.org/assets/documents/resources/publications/acsm/Civil%20Society%20Report%20on%20TB%20and%20COVID.pdf>.
7. Rusen ID. Challenges in tuberculosis clinical trials in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic: A sponsor's perspective. *Trop Med Infect Dis*. 2020;5(2) PMID: PMC7344721.
8. Nikolayevskyy V, Holicka Y, van Sooling D, van der Werf MJ, Ködmön C, Surkova E, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on tuberculosis laboratory services in Europe. *Eur Respir J*. 2020; PMID: PMC7670866.
9. Saunders MJ, Evans CA. COVID-19, tuberculosis and poverty: preventing a perfect storm. *Eur Respir J*. 2020;56(1) PMID: PMC7243392.
10. Visca D, Tiberi S, Pontali E, Spanevello A, Migliori GB. Tuberculosis in the time of COVID-19: quality of life and digital innovation. *Eur Respir J*. 2020; 56(2) PMID: PMC7278505.
11. Houben RMGJ, Menzies NA, Sumner T, Huynh GH, Arinaminpathy N, Goldhaber-Fiebert JD, et al. Feasibility of achieving the 2025 WHO global tuberculosis targets in South Africa, China, and India: a combined analysis of 11 mathematical models. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2016;4(11):e806–15 PMID: PMC6375908.
12. World Health Organization (WHO) information note: Tuberculosis and COVID-19 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/documents/tuberculosis/infonote-tb-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=b5985459_18.
13. Fleming TR, Labriola D, Wittes J. Conducting clinical research during the COVID-19 pandemic: protecting scientific integrity. *JAMA*. 2020;324(1):33–4. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.9286>.
14. Lienhardt C, Raviglione M, Spigelman M, Hafner R, Jaramillo E, Hoelscher M, et al. New drugs for the treatment of tuberculosis: needs, challenges, promise, and prospects for the future. *J Infect Dis*. 2012;205(Suppl 2):S241–9. PMID: 22448022. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis034>.
15. Semete-Makokotela B. SAPHRA policy on conduct of clinical trials of health products during the current COVID-19 pandemic [Internet]. 2020 Available from: http://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/SAHPR-Communication_COVID_19-Final-25032020.pdf
16. Drug-resistant TB clinical trial ends enrolment early after positive initial data [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Apr 30]. Available from: <https://msf.org.uk/article/drug-resistant-tb-clinical-trial-ends-enrolment-early-after-positive-initial-data>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

