

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Time-to-event studies: how robust are inferences when the assumption of independent censoring is in doubt?

Martin Law^{*}, Dan Jackson

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

The Cox proportional hazards model is often used to analyse time-to-event data. In many patients, the event time is unknown, either due to dropout or study end. This is known as censoring. When using the Cox proportional hazards model, it is assumed that censoring is independent of event time. However, this is an untestable, often implausible assumption; it may be that censoring is associated with a change in the hazard of an event.

Our interest is in examining departures from this assumption, as a sensitivity analysis. One recently-proposed approach involves treating event time data of censored patients as missing, adjusting the hazards of those patients, and using multiple imputation to impute the missing event times.

How the hazard changes after censoring is determined by a user-defined sensitivity parameter. A positive value indicates an increase in hazard (harmful effect), while a negative value indicates a decrease (protective effect). The most basic change in hazard is a step change applied to all censored patients. However, the change may be time-dependent, or applied to a subset of censored patients based on their covariates or strata.

By examining how parameter estimates change as this sensitivity parameter is varied, we see how robust our analysis is to the assumption of independent censoring.

We apply this approach for the first time to example data containing stratified data, in an area for which there is prior evidence that censoring is associated with event hazard. We relax the independent censoring assumption, and show how the parameter estimates change.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-P138

Cite this article as: Law and Jackson: Time-to-event studies: how robust are inferences when the assumption of independent censoring is in doubt? *Trials* 2015 16(Suppl 2):P138.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Medical Research Council Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge, UK

