# **ORAL PRESENTATION**



**Open Access** 

# Review of the use of resource use instruments based on patient recall in relation to other methods of resource use estimation

Colin Ridyard<sup>\*</sup>, Dyfrig Hughes

*From* 2nd Clinical Trials Methodology Conference: Methodology Matters Edinburgh, UK. 18-19 November 2013

## Background

We conducted a review of articles citing trial-related resource use measures catalogued in the Database of Instruments for Resource Use Measurement (http:// www.DIRUM.org). The aims were to assess: (i) how the instruments were used in practice; (ii) which items of resource use were most frequently measured using patient-recall; and (iii) how estimates compared if more than one method of data collection was used for the same resource items

#### Methods

Articles citing references to measures were identified using Google Scholar, ISI Web of Science and Scopus. These were reviewed and screened according to inclusion criteria. Data were extracted on: the method of administration, resources measured, rates of return and the nature of the other methods of resource use measurement.

#### Results

Nearly all citing articles (143/146) used an instrument derived from Beecham and Knapp's Client Service Receipt Inventory or a variation thereof (81/143). Most measures relied on patient- or proxy-recall (126/146) generally administered during researcher interviews. Use of primary and secondary care was the most widely reported items of resource use (136/146). Twelve studies compared more than one method of data collection for the same resource items and 8/12 showed good correlation between medical records and patient/carer recall or at least indicated one could routinely validate the other. No single administration method was deemed superior.

Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd, UK

### Conclusion

Patient interviews are the most common method of questionnaire administration but studies lack clarity on how they are conducted. Results indicate good precision and accuracy in recall questionnaire use; however, concerns about recall bias still exist.

Published: 29 November 2013

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-14-S1-O22 Cite this article as: Ridyard and Hughes: Review of the use of resource use instruments based on patient recall in relation to other methods of resource use estimation. *Trials* 2013 14(Suppl 1):O22.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



© 2013 Ridyard and Hughes; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.